

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,735	01/06/2004		Hideyuki Naito	VX022451A	3990
21369	7590	09/08/2006	•	EXAMINER	
POSZ LAW	GROUP, I	PLC	AVERY, BRIDGET D		
12040 SOUT	H LAKES D	OR.			<u> </u>
SUITE 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA 20191				3618	
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/751,735	NAITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bridget Avery	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>ine 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

1. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rigal (US Patent 2 774 302).

Rigal teaches an apparatus for binding a boot to a base plate of a snowboard (2), including: a first band (15) mounted on a first side of the base plate (5); a second band (13) mounted on a second side of the base plate opposite the first side of the base plate (5) in a width direction, the second band (13) being removably attached to the first band (15, 17), and capable of fastening a toe end portion of the boot to the base plate (5) where the second band (13) can fasten the toe end portion at an acute angle with respect to the base plate and the toe end portion of the boot via elements (14, 16); a pad (20) attached to one of the first band and the second band at an upper surface of the pad (20), and the toe end portion of the boot at a lower surface of the pad (20); Re claim 6, a first band (15) mounted on a first side of the base plate (5); a second band (13) mounted on a second side of the base plate (5) opposite the first side of the base plate (5) in a width direction; and a pad (20) mounted-on the first band (15, 17) at a first

portion and removably attached to the second band (13) at a second portion, wherein the pad (20) fastens a toe end portion of the boot at an acute angle with respect to the base plate (5) and the toe end portion; a first belt (17) for fastening an upper portion of the toe end of the boot to the base plate; a second belt (17 integral and equivalent to applicant's) for fastening a front portion of the toe end of the boot to the base plate (5), the first belt (17) and the second belt being connected to each other at first ends thereof and connected to each other at second ends thereof; and the second band (13) connected removably to the first ends of the first belt and the second belt, and connected fixedly to the second side of the base plate (5), where the second ends of the first belt and the second belt are removably connected to the first band (15), as shown in Figures 2 and 3; the first belt and the second belt are formed integrally with respect to each other, as clearly shown in Figure 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rigal ('302).

Rigal teaches the features described above.

Art Unit: 3618

Rigal lacks the teaching of a pad divided into two plates and the teaching of a first and second belt removably connected to each other.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide a pad divided into two plates and the teaching of a first and second belt removably connected to each other, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Note, the features of claims 11 and 12 are shown in Figure 1. Applicant's attention is drawn to the adjustment holes clearly shown on the left side of the figure near reference number 9.

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rigal ('302) in view of Rigal et al. (US Patent 6,076,848).

Rigal teaches the features described above.

Rigal lacks the teaching of a first band adjustable with respect to the first ends of the first belt.

Rigal et al. teaches a band adjustable with respect to the ends of a belt.

Based on the teachings of Rigal et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the band to include a series of holes to facilitate adjustment. See column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 1-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,735 Page 5

Art Unit: 3618

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at telephone number 571-272-6691.

August 31, 2006

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600